

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,980	04/12/2004	Yoshiro Takemura	020607A	3118
38834 7:	590 02/07/2006		EXAM	INER
	N, HATTORI, DAN	COCKS, JOSIAH C		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3749	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. • •			Si	P
<del>`</del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/821,980	TAKEMURA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Josiah Cocks	3749	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ve et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	pril 2004.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 17-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 17-31 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10) 🖾 🗅	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ <i>A</i> a)∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/2004.		atent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. In the preliminary amendment filed 4/12/2004 applicant cancelled claims 1-4 and 6-16 and added new claims 17-31. However, claim 5 is not addressed. It appears applicant intended to cancel this claim as well and has been considered as such. Necessary correction is required.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 17, 20, and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities:
In claim 17, line 9, the term "circuinferentially" should read "circumferentially".
In claim 20, line 2, "than inside diameter" should read "than the inside diameter".
In claim 25, line 1 "according td" should read "according to".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 22, 26, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 17 introduces a single auxiliary combustible gas flame hole and a single air ejection nozzle. Dependent claims 22, 26, and 27 recite either that the air ejection nozzle comprises "air ejection nozzles in a plurality of groups" (claims 22 and 26) or that the

Art Unit: 3749

"auxiliary combustible gas flame hole comprises auxiliary combustible gas flame holes in a plurality of groups." (claim 27)(Note it appears applicant intended to recite holes and not merely hole in the second occurrence of the term). It is unclear how these limitations, i.e. the gas flame hole and air ejection nozzle, can simultaneously represent both a single structure and a plurality of identical structures. It appears applicant intended to recite in the independent claim 17 "at least one auxiliary combustible gas flame hole" and "at least one air ejection nozzle" and has been considered as such for the purpose of examination of the merits of claims 22, 26, and 27. Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 3749

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 17-31 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,736,635.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because though the claims 17-31 of this application are broader in scope than claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,736,635, they are claiming the same invention.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 17-21, 23-25, and 28-31 contain allowable subject matter.
- 8. Claims 22, 26, and 27 contain allowable subject matter but should be rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3749

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In regard to claim 17, the prior art does not teach or suggest the burner for treating a waste gas having the particular structural components and arrangement as recited in this claim. Dependent claims 18-31 contain allowable subject matter as being apparatus claims dependent upon independent claim 17.

#### Conclusion

- 10. This action is made non-final. A THREE (3) MONTH shortened statutory period for reply has been set. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 4,915,038 to Sujata et al. and JP 2002-106813 are cited to further show the state of the art concerning burners for waste gas.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (571) 272-4874. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 3749

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg, can be reached at (571) 272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair">http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair</a>. Any questions on access to the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

jcc

February 2, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3749